

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,100	07/29/2003	Mark Dimitrijevic	HO-P02803US0	HO-P02803US0 6359	
26271	7590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			SPAHN	SPAHN, GAY	
1301 MCKIN SUITE 5100	NEY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095			3635		
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/629,100	DIMITRIJEVIC, MARK	DIMITRIJEVIC, MARK	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gay Ann Spahn	3635		

		0000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in	o avoid dismissal of the 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>		TE below);	
(c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	h daga NOT alaga Aha ang Kasakan i	and the second second	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I		'.SU_
			L.Slock BPE
		S	ort

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the claim language of the offset surface "being generally unopposed by another offset surface between said top end wall and said bottom end wall" to both independent claims 1 and 18 would require further consideration and/or search. Further, the change of claim language "sidewalls" throughout the claims to "a sidewall" appears to be broadening the claims and perhaps presenting new 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph indefiniteness issues.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections of claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 18, and 19. However, new 35 USC 112, second paragraph indefiniteness issues may have been presented.